# Localizing Text in Scene Images by Boundary Clustering, Stroke Segmentation, and String Fragment Classification

Chucai Yi, Student Member, IEEE and YingLi Tian, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—In this paper, we propose a novel framework to extract text regions from scene images with complex backgrounds and multiple text appearances. This framework consists of 3 main steps: boundary clustering, stroke segmentation, and string fragment classification. In boundary clustering, we propose a new bigram color uniformity based method to model both text and attachment surface, and cluster edge pixels based on color-pairs and spatial positions into boundary layers. Then, stroke segmentation is performed at each boundary layer by color assignment to extract character candidates. We propose two algorithms to combine structural analysis of text stroke with color assignment and filter out background interferences. Further, we design a robust string fragment classification based on Gabor-based text features. The features are obtained from feature maps of gradient, stroke distribution and stroke width. The proposed framework of text localization is evaluated on scene images, born-digital images, broadcast video images, and images of hand-held objects captured by blind persons. Experimental results on respective datasets demonstrate that the framework outperforms state-of-the-art localization algorithms.

*Index Terms*—Text localization; Bigram color uniformity; Boundary clustering; Color assignment; Stroke segmentation; Gabor-based text features; String fragment classification;

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Image-based text information serves as an important indicator in many applications. It provides instructions and presentations for navigation, assistive reading, geocoding, and content-based image retrieval *etc*. It is a challenging task to detect and recognize text from camera-captured images due to two main issues: 1) variety of text patterns (sizes, fonts, colors, and orientations *etc.*); and 2) existence of background outliers resembling text characters, such as windows, bricks, and character-like texture. Most optical character recognition (OCR) systems are designed to transform text images to readable text codes [2, 25], but perform poorly when text is

Manuscript received September 4, 2011, revised February 7th, 2012. This work was supported in part by NIH 1R21EY020990, NSF grant IIS-0957016, EFRI-1137172, DTFH61-12-H-00002, ARO grant W911NF-09-1-0565, Microsoft Research, and CITY SEEDs grant.

Copyright (c) 2012 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Chucai Yi is with the Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, NY 10016 USA (e-mail: cyi@gc.cuny.edu).

YingLi Tian is with the City College, City University of New York, New York, NY 10031 USA (phone: 212-650-7046; fax: 212-650-8249; e-mail: ytian@ccny.cuny.edu). Prior to joining the City College in September 2008, she was with IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 USA.

embedded into complex background because of background interferences and low frequency of occurrence of text. As we know, most voice pens for blind assistance require manual localization of text lines in documents and objects in hand. However in camera-based scene images, manual text localization is impractical especially for blind people. Therefore, algorithms of automatic text localization are required to filter out background outliers and localize the regions containing text characters or strings in images for further process of text segmentation and recognition.

Natural scene images with text information have two categories according to the complexity of background. For the first category, text characters and strings are in high resolution with relatively simple background. This category of scene images generally records the close-up shots of specific objects, such as book covers, notice signage, and wrapper (see Fig. 1(a)). Most images of born-digital documents and hand-held objects captured by blind persons belong to this category, and we will introduce more details in Section V. The second category embeds text into more complex backgrounds with various natural objects, such as buildings, trees, lawn, roads, etc. (Fig. 1(b)). In both categories, text characters and strings mostly appear in print patterns with regular structure.



Fig. 1. Examples of text in natural scene images. (a) Text with high resolution and relatively simple background; (b) text with complex background.

We observe that characters and strings in natural scene are printed in same color for almost all cases. Many previous text localization algorithms applied color-based clustering to group the pixels in similar colors into respective color layers. Thus text characters and strings could be separated from background objects in different colors. Nikolaou *et al.* [20] proposed an algorithm of color reduction based on color histogram and mean-shift algorithm. It initialized color centers randomly and projected each pixel to the nearest color center. Then mean-shift algorithm was applied to fix the color centers into the mean positions as final color layers. Chen *et al.* [5] established Gaussian mixture model in five color channels (*Red, Green, Blue, Hue, Intensity*) to analyze the distributions of text pixels and background pixels. The parameters of these characteristic distributions were then used to label candidate text regions. Cosine similarity [19] and K-means clustering [26] were respectively applied to RGB channels to segment text characters in uniform color. In these algorithms, color clustering is a single-variable function that maps each pixel to the nearest color center. From the high-level perspective, it maps each text string in uniform color to the most compatible color layer. However, these algorithms ignore that text string is attached in the neighboring surface in uniform color in most cases. Based on this feature, we design the algorithm of boundary clustering which will be presented in Section II.

Another significant property of text is stroke width consistency. As the basic element of text, stroke is defined as a connected region in the form of a band of approximately constant width [7]. Compared to character height and width, stroke width reflects the text size from the perspective of character structure. Due to the stroke width consistency of characters and strings, a regional stroke width distribution can be employed to verify whether the localized areas contain text or not. In [6, 27], stroke width is calculated by using horizontal scan line to record the intensity variations around the edge pixels, usually a pair of impulses on the strokes with equal magnitudes and opposite directions. But a character consists of strokes in multiple orientations, while the horizontal scan line can only derive the width of vertical strokes. Epshtein et al. [7] proposed a more robust stroke width operator for text region localization, named as stroke width transform. In stroke-based algorithms, stroke width consistency is used to extract the pixels inside body of strokes. The connected stroke pixels can be grouped into connected components as candidate text characters for further analysis in text localization.

In natural scene images, text information is usually printed as a text string, which is a group of characters, rather than a single character, and the character members of a string are most likely with similar size, consistent color, and aligned arrangement. Based on these features, layout analysis can be performed to extract text strings without character recognition [4, 7, 30, 31].

In addition to color uniformity, stroke width consistency and character alignment, boundary-based and gradient-based structural analysis also plays an important role in text localization [1, 11, 12, 15, 18, 23, 28]. Text features, such as edge distribution, gradient variation, closed component boundary, and edge-based filter response, were obtained from boundary maps and gradient maps of scene images to detect and verify text regions. They were related to geometrical structure of text.

In above algorithms, multiple pixel-based features were employed to distinguish text characters and strings from background outliers. But most of the processes were based on subjective selection of features and hard assignment of parameters. For example, it defined that aspect ratio of text characters should not exceed 5 and stroke width should be no larger than 50. But these estimates cannot accurately model the inner structure of text characters. They fail to filter out the background objects that are also composed of strokes in uniform color and consistent width, such as windows, bricks, and stripe texture. Thus the three common features are not enough for accurate text model. In this case, some localization algorithms employed Haar-like features or wavelet analysis to train text classifier in machine learning model. Chen et al. [4] applied block patterns to gradient based maps and histograms to train text classifier in Adaboost model. Hanif et al. [8] extracted mean difference, standard deviation, and HOG features of text characters to generate text detector under a Complexity Adaboost model. In [13], the responses of globally matched wavelet filters from text regions are used as features to train text classifier based on Support Vector Machines (SVM) model and Fisher model. Pan et al. [22] used steerable Gabor filters to extract rotation-invariant features of multiple scripts. Shi et al. [24] adopted gradient based curvatures to perform structural analysis of handwritten digits under a Bayes discriminant model. Jung et al. [10] proposed an algorithm of text line refinement by analyzing SVM score of text regions. Inspired by these algorithms, we design Gabor-based features from a set of block patterns and feature maps to model inner structure of text and classify string fragments (see Section IV).

In this paper, we propose a novel framework of automatic text localization to calculate text regions in natural scene images by using features at 3 levels. At the pixel level, assuming that text characters and strings in scene images mostly appear in uniform color, the edge pixels are clustered into several layers to separate the boundaries of text strokes from those of background outliers with different color-pair. At the character level, assuming that each text character is composed of a single stroke, the pixels inside the body of strokes are segmented from each boundary layer to extract candidate characters in the form of connected components. At the string level, assuming that scene text is mostly in the form of approximately horizontal strings, layout analysis is first performed to group the horizontally aligned connected components into candidate fragments of text strings, and then a text classifier is learned from training set to predict whether an image patch of candidate string fragment contains text or not.

We propose novel algorithms to extract more robust features for text localization. Fig. 2 depicts the flowchart of our framework. The main contributions include three aspects.

- 1. We design a color-pair clustering algorithm based on Gaussian mixture model (GMM) and EM algorithm to group the boundary pixels with bigram color uniformity on the border of text and attachment surface.
- 2. We combine structural analysis of stroke boundary with color assignment for extracting the pixels inside the body of strokes on each boundary layer.
- 3. To classify the string fragments, we model text features by applying block patterns to feature points of gradient maps, stroke distribution maps, and stroke width maps. The feature points are derived from maximum responses of Gabor filters.



Fig. 2. The flowchart of our framework for text localization in natural scene images with complex background.

The rest paper is organized as following: Section II describes the proposed boundary clustering based on bigram

color uniformity. Section III presents two new algorithms of stroke segmentation combining structural analysis and color assignment. Section IV describes the Gabor-based text features for training a SVM-based classifier of string fragments. We evaluate the framework and discuss the results according to experiments on benchmark datasets in Section V. The paper and future research directions are summarized in Section VI.

### II. BOUNDARY CLUSTERING

Boundary plays an important in role in the structural analysis and geometrical model of text. In scene images, object boundary is derived from color difference of two uniform regions: object and its surrounding backgrounds. Thus color uniformity and spatial positions are employed to analyze the boundaries of text characters, and we propose a clustering algorithm to separate them from the boundaries of background outliers.

#### A. Bigram Color Uniformity and Spatial Position

Camera-based scene images usually have complex background filled with non-text objects in multiple shapes and colors. In these images, text strokes, characters, and strings keep conspicuous by consistent colors. Thus many color-based clustering algorithms of text localization and text segmentation are designed [5, 19, 20]. However, these clustering algorithms ignore the color difference of neighboring pixels around the object boundaries. Compared to absolute color values, color difference is more suitable for the analysis of object shape and texture because it produces more accurate local texture measure and is more robust to lighting changes [14].

We observe that text information is generally attached to a plane carrier as attachment surface. The attachment surface consists of pixels with uniform color near the character boundaries but outside the character strokes, as shown in Fig. 3. We define the color uniformity of both text and attachment surface as bigram color uniformity, modeled by a color-pair composed of their colors. For text and attachment surface, the color-pair reflects their respective color uniformity as well as color difference between them. Text boundaries on the border of text and its attachment surface are described by characteristic color-pairs, and we are able to extract text by distinguishing boundaries of characters and strings from those of background outliers based on color-pairs (see Fig. 3(c)). Here, we design an algorithm to group object boundaries with similar color-pairs into respective maps, which are called boundary layers. The next section will present detailed descriptions of the clustering algorithm.

Proceed With caution Height Height Height Hissed Hissed

Fig. 3. (a) A scene image. (b) Attachment surface of text. (c) Two examples of color-pairs corresponding to the boundaries at the signage board and window grid respectively.

(b)

(c)

(a)

To reduce mutual interferences between text strings, text boundaries in different positions should be assigned into different boundary layers as possible, even though they have uniform color values and similar color differences. But most previous color-based clustering algorithms did not take into account the spatial positions of text. Our clustering algorithm employs the spatial positions of edge pixels at object boundaries as additional features

## B. EM-based Boundary clustering

In natural scene images, an initial map of object boundary is calculated from Canny detection [3]. Edge pixels at boundaries are obtained from either large neighboring color differences that are greater than a threshold of Canny detector or the 8-neighborhood connection to an existing edge pixel. We describe the edge pixels by characteristic color-pairs. In  $n \times n$ neighborhood  $Nb_n$  of an edge pixel  $P_e$ , we find out the two pixels with maximum color difference among all pairs of pixels. Their color values are used as observation of the color-pair across two sides of the boundary where the edge pixel is located. We denote the color with lower intensity component by  $cl_L$  and the other one by  $cl_H$  (see Fig. 3(c)). In RGB space, color values  $cl_L$  and  $cl_H$  both have three dimensions. If the boundary belongs to a text character or string, the  $cl_{L}$  and  $cl_{H}$  represent colors of text and attachment surface respectively. Moreover, the coordinates  $sp_x$  and  $sp_y$  of the edge pixel  $P_{\rho}$  are used as observation of spatial positions. Then an observation vector x of the edge pixel can be defined by cascading the color values of the two pixels with maximum color difference in neighborhood and the spatial coordinates of the central edge pixel. To normalize the dimensions of color-pair and spatial position observation, the coordinates  $sp_r$ and  $sp_v$  are extended into three dimensions as  $sp_x =$  $\{sp_x, sp_x, sp_x\}$  and  $sp_y = \{sp_y, sp_y, sp_y\}$ . Thus edge pixel  $P_e$ is described by an observation vector  $x = [cl_L, cl_H, sp_x, sp_y]$ , which is a 12-dimesnional point in observation space.

To extract text boundaries from scene images, we cluster the observation points of edge pixels into several groups such that edge pixels with similar color-pairs and spatial positions are assigned into identical boundary layer. In this process, GMM is employed to analyze the distributions of observation points of edge pixels. At first, *K*-means clustering is applied to calculate *K* centers of observation points, which are used as initial means  $\mu_i$  ( $1 \le i \le k$ ) of the Gaussian mixture distributions. Then the corresponding *K* variances  $\sigma_i$  ( $1 \le i \le k$ ) are calculated from the means of observation points. Thus we can initialize a group of Gaussian distributions. By labeling each of them with a weight, the expectation of GMM is represented by (1).

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i p_i(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_i,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i)$$
(1)

where  $\boldsymbol{x}$  represents observation points,  $w_i$  represents the weights of the *i*-th Gaussian distribution in the mixture set, and  $\mu_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  represent mean and variance of the *i*-th Gaussian

distribution. Next, over the observation points of edge pixels, EM algorithm is applied to obtain maximum likelihood estimate of the GMM parameters, including weights, means, and variances of the *K* Gaussian distributions. In EM process, the GMM parameters are iteratively updated by (2) from their initial values derived by *K*-means clustering.

$$w_{i}^{t+1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i}(x_{j} | \mu_{i}^{t}, \sigma_{i}^{t})$$

$$\mu_{i}^{t+1} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i}(x_{j} | \mu_{i}^{t}, \sigma_{i}^{t}) x_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i}(x_{j} | \mu_{i}^{t}, \sigma_{i}^{t})}$$

$$\sigma_{i}^{t+1} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i}(x_{j} | \mu_{i}^{t}, \sigma_{i}^{t}) (x_{j} - \mu_{i}^{t+1})^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i}(x_{j} | \mu_{i}^{t}, \sigma_{i}^{t})}$$

$$(2)$$

where *N* is the number of observation points and *t* denotes the *t*-th iteration. This iterative update is performed until the log likelihood  $\log \prod_{j=1}^{N} P(x_j | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$  is convergent. Then boundary layer is built from each of the *K* Gaussian distributions under the parameters derived by EM. For an edge pixel, if it generates maximum likelihood in the *i*-th Gaussian distribution, it will be assigned into the *i*-th boundary layer  $B_i$  by (3).

$$X_{i} = \{x_{j} \in \boldsymbol{x} | \forall k \in [1, K], p_{i}(x_{j} | \mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}) \geq p_{k}(x_{j} | \mu_{k}, \sigma_{k})\}$$

$$B_{i}(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_{p} \in \boldsymbol{X}_{i} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(3)

Furthermore, the expected value  $\mu_i$  of the *i*-th Gaussian distribution provides a mean color-pair  $\{cl_L^i, cl_H^i\}$  to label all edge pixels at the layer  $B_i$ .

Fig. 4(a) illustrates three examples of boundary layers after EM-based clustering. Fig. 4(b) presents the corresponding results of regular color reduction. As shown in the first two examples, color reduction fails to completely extract text from background outliers, leaving the boundaries of tree and plane on the boundary layer of text. It is because color reduction does not employ the spatial position difference between text and background outlier. In the third example, color reduction fails to extract the words "TESCO" and "LIFE", but fuses them into attachment surface in similar color. Color reduction quantizes the dominant colors through statistics of absolute color values, so neighboring objects with color difference lower than some threshold are very probably regarded as a complete object. However, our proposed clustering algorithm quantizes the color-pairs around edge pixels instead of absolute color values at all pixels. A color-pair can be successfully extracted as long as it covers enough edge pixels to compose its boundary layer, even though the difference between the pair of colors is small.

Since all the involved text strings in our experiments are horizontal, the spatial positions of text boundaries can be estimated only in *y*-coordinates. Thus the dimension of an observation point is reduced to 9 as  $x = [cl_L, cl_H, sp_y]$ . In our experiments on scene images, the number of Gaussian mixtures is K = 5, which generates the best results of boundary

clustering. If K is too small, text boundary cannot be effectively extracted from complex background. If K is too large, the algorithm will lose the tolerance to color variation within a character or string. In that case, text boundary is probably broken into several fragments and assigned into different boundary layers.



Fig. 4. (a) Examples of boundary layers from scene images; edge pixels with similar color-pairs and spatial positions are grouped into the same layer. Boundaries at different veridical positions are assigned into different boundary layers because of *y*-coordinate spatial information in clustering process. (b) Results of color reduction based on clustering of absolute color values, where white region represents background, and color region consists of the pixels that are grouped to the layer.

#### **III. STROKE SEGMENTATION**

Text boundaries provide preliminary clues of string locations and character structure. In [11], character boundaries, as a set of connected edge pixels, are directly used for structural analysis and text segmentation. However, due to the background interferences in scene images, text boundaries are probably broken into tiny segments or connected into the boundary of a non-text background object. To localize text accurately, we use the mean color-pair in each boundary layer to label a set of connected components as candidate text characters by color assignment. The process of character labeling uses stroke as basic unit because character is composed of strokes with similar width and different orientations. Here we define a stroke as a connected image region with uniform color and half-closed boundary, which keeps consistent distance in one direction while stays extensible in the perpendicular direction. As shown in Fig. 5(b), this consistent distance is defined as stroke width and the extensible direction is defined as stroke orientation. We apply color assignment and structural analysis to obtain the strokes of text characters and organize them into aligned connected components.



Fig. 5. (a) An image patch of text character; (b) a stroke is marked by red character boundary, and the red arrow denotes stroke orientations and blue arrow indicates the stroke width; (c) color assignment based on the mean color-pair { $cl_L$ ,  $cl_H$ } in current boundary layer.

Color assignment assigns each pixel to the closer color value of the mean color-pair  $\{cl_L, cl_H\}$  on a boundary layer by (4), where *c* denotes the original color of a pixel in RGB space.

$$c^{*} = \begin{cases} cl_{L} & \|cl_{L} - c\| \leq \|cl_{H} - c\| \\ cl_{H} & \|cl_{L} - c\| > \|cl_{H} - c\| \end{cases}$$
(4)

In color assignment, the pixels at strokes and those at attachment surfaces should be assigned different colors from the mean color-pair (see Fig. 5(c)). Thus text characters composed of strokes can be segmented in the form of connected components. However, attachment surfaces and background outliers are also segmented out during color assignment. To eliminate the background interferences, we combine color assignment with structural analysis of stroke boundary and propose two algorithms of color assignment.

### A. Direct Color Assignment

The pixels far from object boundaries should be skipped during color assignment, because they are neither text nor attachment surface. To predict whether a pixel P is located at the neighborhoods of object boundaries, we define a constraint based on a pair of neighboring windows at P in (5).

$$\left(\sum_{t=-R_s}^{R_s} B(x+t,y)\right) \times \left(\sum_{t=-C_s}^{C_s} B(x,y+t)\right) = 0$$
(5)

where *B* represents a boundary layer in which edge pixel is set as value 1 and background pixel is set as value 0, and *x* and *y* represent coordinates of a central pixel *P* at boundary layer *B*. A horizontal window  $(2R_s + 1) \times 1$  and a vertical window  $1 \times (2C_s + 1)$  are respectively generated at *P*, as shown in Fig. 6(c), where *P* is denoted by the red points. If *P* satisfies the constraint in (5), no edge pixel falls into its two neighboring windows. Thus *P* will be regarded as background pixel and be skipped during color assignment. In our experiments, we set  $R_s = 6$  and  $C_s = 4$ .

This algorithm is effective on extracting text from simple background without many natural objects, that is, the first category of scene images as introduced in Section I. The two sliding windows generate valid regions for color assignment in each boundary layer. Within the valid regions, the pixel belongs to either text stroke or attachment surface. Thus both them can be extracted as foreground connected components by the binary labeling of color-pairs directly, as shown in Fig. 6. The task of distinguishing text from attachment surface will be finished by layout analysis (see Section IV.A.).



Fig. 6. (a) A scene image. (b) One of boundary layers of the scene image. (c) Some examples of neighboring windows, where the red points denote the central pixels. (d) The resulting map of direct color assignment, where pixels inside the strokes and the attachment surfaces are labeled by the mean color-pair of current boundary layer, and the skipped background pixels are black. (e) Segmented strokes. (f) Segmented attachment surfaces. Both (e) and (f) are binary maps obtained from binarization of (d).

#### B. Inferred Color Assignment

In the scene images where text characters and non-text objects are not isolated apart from each other, it is difficult to derive a valid region without any boundaries of background outliers. In this case, naive binarization based on only the color-pair cannot segment strokes and attachment surfaces, because direct color assignment usually fails to completely separate text boundaries from nearby background interferences in similar colors. As shown in Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 8(a), the boundaries of text "HFC" are connected to boundaries of background objects due to the interference of lamp shadow on the attachment surface. To skip these unexpected pixels, we propose an algorithm of inferred color assignment, in which the gradient cohesion and width consistency of character strokes are employed to localize pixels inside body of strokes.

We extract a set of pixels to compose the stroke central lines, points of reference (POR). We use horizontal and vertical probe to detect the PORs at each boundary layer. For a pixel R, two horizontal rays are generated to probe toward left and right respectively, until edge pixels  $B_l$  and  $B_r$  are encountered within a range. Pixel R will be labeled as a POR if  $B_l$  and  $B_r$ satisfy the following constraints, 1) gradients at  $B_l$  and  $B_r$  have approximately equal magnitude and opposite orientations; 2) length difference between the two line segments  $B_lR$  and  $B_rR$ does not exceed 2 pixels, which ensures the approximate lengths of the two line segments and the proper number and distribution of PORs for further feature extraction. Then the length sum of the two line segments is used as the width of the stroke where R is located. If R is not a POR under the horizontal probe, two vertical rays will be generated to repeat the above process toward up and down, and we employ the same constraints in the vertical probe.



Fig. 7. (a) Original scene image. (b) A boundary layer of the image. (c) PORs marked in red displays the preliminary stroke localization in boundary layer.

Each POR is assigned the closer color value of the color-pair by (4). As shown in Fig. 7(c), PORs are distributed at the body of strokes or the gap of neighboring stroke. Rare PORs exist in background because most interference boundaries are not satisfied with stroke features. Next, color assignment is performed for all the other pixels according to their spatial relationships with the PORs. For a pixel  $P_0$ , we find out its nearest POR  $P_R$ . If the length of a line segment  $P_0P_R$  is less than stroke width marked by  $P_R$ , the  $P_0$  is considered as a pixel within the same stroke as  $P_R$ , and we paint it the color of  $P_R$ . After the color assignment, the segmentation results of strokes and attachment surfaces are generated by labeling the two color values of color-pair as foreground respectively, as shown in Fig. 8(b). Then connected components are obtained from the two resulting binary maps according to PORs.



Fig. 8. Binary maps of segmented strokes in the top and segmented attachment surfaces in the bottom, resulted from direct color assignment in (a) and inferred color assignment in (b).

Compared to the direct color assignment, some background outliers that are incompatible with stroke width based text features can be removed in the process of inferred color assignment, as shown in Fig. 8. In our experiments, the framework is respectively equipped with direct color assignment (DCA) and inferred color assignment (ICA) for performance evaluation.

#### IV. STRING FRAGMENT CLASSIFICATION

In the process of stroke segmentation, text and attachment surface are extracted as foreground connected components. Layout analysis and string fragment classification are further performed to verify text among the connected components. The attachment surface usually appears as background board, and text usually appears in the form of text string, which is a group of aligned character members with similar size and distance between them. We find out the groups of connected components that probably compose text strings. Each group is considered as a fragment of a text string, defined as a string fragment. Then we propose Gabor-based text features to train a SVM-based classifier of string fragments to determine whether a candidate string fragment is text patch or not.

### A. Layout Analysis

Layout analysis based on text string focuses on the relationships between characters and their neighboring siblings. We use connected components obtained from stroke segmentation as the basic element to extract the string fragments. Different algorithms of layout analysis are adopted according to the character size. We use character height 12 as threshold to distinguish large size and small size characters.

For the large size characters that are distant from neighboring siblings, we apply adjacent character grouping [30] to combine sibling connected components based on structural analysis of sibling characters for layout analysis. This algorithm is applicable to text strings with small number of characters and high resolutions. Adjacent character grouping is designed to extract the string fragments with no less than 3 characters. To ensure the robustness of our framework, we extend the algorithm by grouping two neighboring connected components under specific conditions. Compared to the adjacent grouping based on three or more characters, two-component grouping is more likely to obtain false positive string fragments from background outliers. Thus we set more rigorous conditions for two-component grouping as follows. First, the centroid of a connected component should be inside the horizontal range of the other connected component. If  $y_0$  is the y-coordinate of the centroid of one connected component, and  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  are the upper side and bottom side of the bounding box of the other connected component, they should satisfy  $y_0 \ge y_1 + (y_2 - y_1)/3$  and  $y_0 \le y_2 - (y_2 - y_1)/3$ . It ensures that the two connected components stay in horizontal alignment Second, the height ratio of the two connected components should be greater than 0.83 and less than 1.2. The width ratio of the two connected components should be greater than 0.5 and less than 2. It ensures that they have similar sizes. Third, the distance between the two connected components should not exceed twice of the

width of the wider one, and also it should not be less than 12. The satisfied connected components are grouped together to obtain string fragments, as shown in Fig. 9.



Fig. 9. Some examples of adjacent character grouping, where string fragments are obtained from grouping adjacent characters marked by red boxes.

For small size characters, stroke segmentation usually fails to separate them since they might be integrated into a single connected component, and we have no access to the details of character structure. In this case, a single connected component is considered as a string fragment directly if its height is less than 12 and width-to-height ratio is greater than 4. But this method will bring in more background interferences because it omits the certification of character alignment. In our experiments, this method is only used in the process of text localization in born-digital images, which will be described in detail in Section V.C.

String fragment is an image patch with compatible size to accommodate all its candidate character members. To prepare for classification of the candidate string fragments, we normalize the image patches into a fixed size 48×96. In this process, the large size string fragments obtained from adjacent character grouping are directly scaled into image patches with width 96 pixels and height 48 pixels, and the small size string fragment from a single connected component should be sliced vertically into overlapped partitions with width-to-height ratio 2:1 and then scaled into image patches with width 96 pixels.

# B. Training set

This section will describe the establishment of a training set for learning the string fragment classifier. First, we perform adjacent character grouping [30] on scene images with text information to obtain a set of image patches, in which string fragments are taken as positive samples and non-text outliers are taken as negative samples. Besides the image patches generated from scene images, we also generate synthetic text characters and string fragments to produce additional positive samples. Also we add image patches of non-text objects and textures that resemble text characters as additional negative samples. We collect about 2000 positive samples and 2000 negative samples respectively for training. Next, all these image patches are adjusted to the standard size for training the string fragment classifier. For each patch, if the width-to-height ratio is greater than 1:1 but less than 3:1, it is normalized into width 96 pixels and height 48 pixels. If the width-to-height ratio is greater than 3:1, it is sliced vertically into overlapped patches with width-to-height ratio 2:1, and then normalized into width 96 and height 48. If the width-to-height ratio of an image patch

is less than 1:1, we splice its three copies in vertical to generate a new image patch, width 96 and height 48.

Based on the training set, Gabor-based text features are extracted to train a classifier of string fragment in a SVM model. To ensure maximum Gabor responses at stroke components, stroke pixel intensity is higher than background pixel intensity in positive samples. Detailed description will be given in Section IV.C. Fig. 10 presents examples of both positive samples and negative samples from the training set.



Fig. 10. Examples of positive samples (top row) and negative samples (bottom row) in the training set of string fragments.

#### C. Gabor-based Features

To eliminate the false positive string fragments, we employ Gabor-based features to model the inner structure of text. In previous literatures of text segmentation and text recognition [9, 28, 29], Gabor filter was applied to model text appearances by finding maximum responses on stroke components. Gabor filter can be used to analyze the combinations and distributions of stroke components, which are related to structural features of text. In this framework, we employ Gabor filter responses to detect out pixels of interest (POI) for text feature extraction.

To model the stroke width and stroke orientation from pixel-based perspective, Gabor filter is adaptively generated at each pixel of the string fragment for maximum Gabor responses. First, we calculate the edge map and distance transform (DT) map of string fragments. For a pixel P, the DT map can indicate its nearest edge pixel  $P_e$  and their distance  $d_P$ . If P is located inside a stroke, the line  $PP_{e}$  should be perpendicular to the stroke orientation. Next, we adopt the model of Gabor filter by (6) to calculate a compatible Gabor filter at each pixel, in which the wavelength  $\lambda$  is set as the distance from nearest edge pixel  $d_P$  and the orientation  $\theta$  is set as the stroke orientation corresponding to perpendicular direction to the line  $PP_e$  (see Fig. 11(b-c)). The other parameters keep constant as  $\gamma = 0.2$  and  $\sigma = 2$ . The pixel P is called source pixel of the Gabor filter. The compatible Gabor filter is expected to produce the maximum Gabor response on the stroke of its source pixel, because it is generated along the stroke orientation without crossing the stroke boundaries.

$$g(x,y) = \exp\left(-\frac{x'^2 + \gamma^2 y'^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cos\left(2\pi \frac{x'}{\lambda} + \psi\right)$$

$$x' = x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta$$

$$y' = -x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta$$
(6)

We rotate the compatible Gabor filter by  $\pi/2$  at each pixel to obtain an anti-compatible Gabor filter and corresponding Gabor response map. The anti-compatible Gabor filter perpendicular to stroke orientation stretches across the stroke width segment into background region. On the contrary to

compatible Gabor filter, it is expected to provide minimum filter response at the pixel, as shown in Fig. 12. We calculate the absolute difference between the two Gabor response maps, where the local maximum pixels at the map of absolute difference are extracted as POI, as shown in Fig. 11(d). POI can be considered as sample point of the stroke. Feature extraction focuses only on the POIs in the feature maps of gradient, stroke distribution, and stroke width. Thus the extracted feature is named as Gabor-based text feature.



Fig. 11. (a) Image patch of a string fragment. (b) Two compatible Gabor filters (marked in blue) are generated at the two red points according to the stroke orientation and the distance to the nearest edge pixel. Two anti-compatible Gabor filters are obtained by  $\pi/2$  rotation marked in green. (c) The two compatible Gabor filters. (d) Extracted feature points marked in red, which are distributed inside the body of strokes and in the gap of neighboring strokes.



Fig. 12. (a) Image patch of string fragment with a red pixel A. (b) Compatible Gabor filter at pixel A with corresponding Gabor response and binary threshold map. (c) Anti-compatible Gabor filter with corresponding Gabor response and binary threshold map.

The POIs model the inner structure of text by positions and orientations of the strokes where they are located. We make statistics of stroke orientations based on the POIs in the collected training set of string fragments. A block pattern is employed to divide the image patches of both positive samples and negative samples into three horizontal partition regions. By quantizing stroke orientations into 8 bins within the range  $(-\pi/2,\pi/2]$ , we count the number of POIs at each stroke orientation to obtain POI histograms, as shown in Fig. 13. This figure shows that in positive samples of text string fragments, the dominant stroke orientation is  $\pi/2$ , that is, vertical stroke has the largest frequency of occurrences in text characters and strings. Also the stroke orientations in positive samples are approximately symmetrical with respect to the vertical direction, because most characters in print patterns are composed of closed and symmetrical strokes. In contrast, the distribution of stroke orientations in negative samples is more uniform without dominant orientation. Furthermore, in positive samples, statistical results vary among different partition regions. We can see the vertical stroke is the most dominant in middle partition regions. However, negative samples generate similar patterns of histograms in the three partition regions. The pattern of histograms proves that the POI and block pattern can

generate structural features to distinguish text string fragments from non-text outliers.



Fig. 13. (a) Block pattern used in the statistics of stroke orientations. (b) The histograms of stroke orientations from partition regions of positive samples (top row) and negative samples (bottom row), where the first column denotes statistical results of the whole image patches without block pattern partition.

To extract Gabor-based text features for string fragment classification, we employ 6 block patterns [4] to provide the maps of image patch partition, including the one presented in Fig. 14. These block patterns are related to the intensity and gradient distributions in image patches of string fragments. They model inner structure of string fragments by proportionally dividing the image patches into multiple partition regions along horizontal and vertical directions.



Fig. 14. The 6 block patterns used for extracting text features, where the side length of partition region is given by the percentage of the block side.

The feature values are calculated by the absolute difference between the measurement of POIs in white partition regions and that in gray partition regions. To balance the feature values, a weight is assigned to each partition region. We design two methods of weight assignment. The first method assigns the same weights to all partition regions of the block patterns. This method is applied to all the 6 block patterns. The second method first ensures the same weight sum for gray partition regions and white partition regions. Then each partition region in the respective group is assigned a weight inversely proportional to its area. Taking the 3rd block pattern in the top row of Fig. 14 for example, the three gray partition regions are assigned weight 0.5/3, and the two white partition regions are assigned weight 0.5/2. This method is not applied to the 2 block patterns with less than three partition regions, because it provides the same results as the first method. Taking the weights into account, 10 types of block patterns are employed to extract text features from feature maps. For each partition region of a block pattern, the measurement consists of 2

calculation metrics, which are sum and mean of all the pixel values within this partition region. The 10 block patterns and 2 calculation metrics are applied to extract text features from the POI-based maps of gradient, stroke width, and stroke distribution. Each feature map can generate  $10 \times 2 = 20$  values to compose a feature vector.

#### Gradient

POIs are distributed within the body or gap of strokes, where the gradient magnitudes decrease but gradient orientations are the same as stroke boundaries. The gradient variations should keep consistent at all partition regions of block patterns, if they are obtained from text characters with identical font and size. Horizontal and vertical Sobel operators are applied on the POIs to calculate the gradient values in the two directions. Then we transform them into two feature maps, gradient magnitude and gradient orientation. By using the block patterns and calculation metrics, we generate feature vector in  $2 \times 20 = 40$ dimensions on the gradient feature maps of a string fragment.

#### Stroke Width

We propose a ray-probing algorithm to estimate stroke width at each POI. At first, we obtain stroke orientation at a POI P from its compatible Gabor filter. Then, two rays starting from P probe along two directions that are perpendicular to P's stroke orientation until they reach edge pixels  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  respectively, as shown in Fig. 15. The segment length between the two encountered edge pixels is considered as stroke width across P. We calculate stroke width across each POI to produce a feature map. Next, the block patterns and calculation metrics are applied on the stroke width map to obtain a feature vector of 20 dimensions. Furthermore, to measure the stroke width consistency, Gaussian distribution  $\langle \mu, \sigma \rangle$  is generated from the maximum likelihood of the estimated stroke width at POIs. The coefficient of variance in the form  $\sigma/|\mu|$  is used as feature value of stroke width consistency. To keep consistent feature dimensions with gradient-based features, we extend the single-value variance measure into a vector of 20 dimensions.



Fig. 15. (a) Probe rays along opposite orientations to search for edge pixels. (b) The two encountered edge pixels  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are used to estimate the stroke width of character 'S' where feature point P is located.

## Stroke Distribution

When the image patch of string fragment is partitioned by horizontal section lines, the strokes of text characters are regularly organized in the line spaces. For example, the handwriting worksheet uses a four-line block pattern to assist novices in tracing the character structure. According to this characteristic, we model the character structure by stroke distribution of 18 partition regions from the 6 types of block patterns. First, an image patch of string fragment is binarized by Otsu's method [21]. Then we calculate the ratio between the number of foreground pixels and the total number of pixels in each of the partition regions. The ratio is used as feature value of stroke distribution (see Fig. 16), and an 18-dimesional feature vector is derived from each string fragment.



Fig. 16. Stroke distribution estimates by one of the block patterns, and the right values denote the ratio of foreground pixels at corresponding partition regions.

By cascading the vectors obtained from feature maps of gradient, stroke width and stroke distribution, a 98-dimensional feature vector is generated for each candidate string fragment, which can be considered as a point in feature space. We calculate feature vectors of all the samples in training set and normalize the feature values in each dimension into the range [0,1]. Then linear SVM model is applied to generate a classifier of string fragment.

# V. EXPERIMENTS

We carry out experiments to evaluate the performance of the Gabor-based features in string fragment classification and the performance of our framework in text localization on scene images. In addition, we localize text regions on born-digital images, broadcast video images, and images of hand-held objects captured by blind persons to show the robustness of our framework in dealing with multiple background outliers.

## A. Evaluation of Gabor-based Features

Gabor-based features are evaluated to train a robust classifier of string fragments. The five features of string fragment include gradient magnitude, gradient orientation, stroke width, stroke distribution, and stroke width consistency measure. We estimate the performance of each feature in the SVM model, and obtain the most robust classifier of string fragments.

Experiments are performed on two groups of image patches. First, the feature is evaluated within the collected training set of string fragments. The 2000 positive samples and 2000 negative samples are equally divided into two subsets respectively, one of which is used for classifier training and the other is used for evaluation. Next, the classifier is learned from the whole training set, and then evaluated on about 18,000 image patches, which are obtained from layout analysis on the scene images of ICDAR 2003 robust reading dataset. We have manually labeled the text patches and non-text patches for classifier evaluation.

Fig. 17 and Fig. 18 illustrate the evaluation results of the two experiments respectively, where hit rate represents the ratio of correctly classified samples in positive set, and false positive rate represents the ratio of incorrectly classified samples in negative set. The two figures demonstrate that stroke width consistency is more robust than the other features of text. Gradient magnitude and stroke width achieve comparable performance with stroke distribution and gradient orientation in the first experiment, but they become inferior in the second experiment. It is inferred that gradient orientations and stroke distributions are normalized into the ranges  $(-\pi, \pi]$  and [0, 1] respectively, so they are more robust to the variations of large number of samples in the second experiments. In our framework, all the features are combined to model string fragments, because both figures show that the best performance of string fragment classification is achieved when combining all the features.



Fig. 17. The evaluation results of the 5 Gabor-based features on the collected train set of string fragments. Here hit rate is the ratio of correctly classified samples in positive set, and false positive rate is the ratio of incorrectly classified samples in negative set.



Fig. 18. The evaluation results of the 5 Gabor-based features on image patches obtained from ICDAR 2003 robust reading dataset of scene images. Hit rate is the ratio of correctly classified samples in positive set, and false positive rate is the ratio of incorrectly classified samples in negative set.

## B. Evaluation of Text Localization on Scene Images

We evaluate the performance of our proposed framework on two benchmark datasets of scene images, ICDAR 2003 Robust Reading Dataset [32] and ICDAR 2011 Robust Reading Dataset [33]. Both of them were collected for robust reading competitions, and annotated text regions. ICDAR 2003 robust reading dataset contains about 500 scene images and 2258 ground truth text regions in total. In our experiments, the scene images contain non-text or only a single character are excluded. Thus 487 scene images are used for performance evaluation. The involved image sizes range from  $640 \times 480$  to  $1600 \times 1200$ . ICDAR 2011 robust reading dataset contains 229 scene images with 848 ground truth text regions in total. We evaluate the framework on 228 images containing text strings with no less than two character members. The image size ranges from 422×102 to 3888×2592. The proposed framework is applied on the above datasets for text localization. The localization processes are carried out in each scene image and its inverse image, and the results are combined to calculate the localized text regions. In all our experiments on text localization, the involved scene image is normalized into longer side 640 and shorter side 480 ( $640 \times 480$ ) when length ratio of the longer side and the shorter side is less than 2, and it is normalized into  $640 \times 240$  when the length ratio is greater than or equal to 2. Evaluation results are obtained from the comparisons between a group of localized text regions and ground truth text regions. We denote their overlaps as the hit regions which are the correctly extracted text regions. We define the area of a text region as the number of pixels in the region. Based on these measures, Precision is defined as the ratio between the area of hit regions and the area of the detected regions, and Recall is defined as the ratio between the area of hit regions and the area of the ground truth regions. Then they are combined by harmonic mean to obtain *f-measure* as (7).

$$f = \frac{2 * Precision * Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$
(7)

We perform two rounds of text localization by using DCA and ICA in the step of stroke segmentation respectively. The results are presented in Table I. The ICA framework achieves better recall but lower precision. ICA algorithm filters out the boundaries unsatisfied with stroke structure, but cannot eliminate the background outliers completely. More text characters are separated from background interferences by structural analysis of stroke boundary in ICA, so the recall is improved. However, stroke structure also exists in non-text object, and the survived boundaries and corresponding connected components in ICA usually possess similar appearance and alignment. Thus more false positive string fragments from layout analysis lower the precision.



Fig. 19. Example results of text localization in the ICDAR 2003 Robust Reading Dataset, where the text regions are marked by cyan boxes.

Fig. 19 illustrates some example of text localization where the text regions are marked in cyan boxes. Table I presents the performance comparisons between our framework and the localization algorithms involved in ICDAR robust reading competition [16, 17]. It shows that the proposed framework outperforms most previous localization algorithms.

 TABLE I

 The comparison between our framework and the text localization algorithms presented in [7, 16, 17, 30] on the Robust Reading Dataset.

	Precision	Recall	<i>f</i> -measure
Ours(DCA)	0.73	0.67	0.66
B. Epshtein	0.73	0.60	0.66
Ours(ICA)	0.65	0.74	0.64
H. Becker	0.62	0.67	0.62
C. Yi	0.71	0.62	0.62
A. Chen	0.60	0.60	0.58
Ashida	0.55	0.46	0.50
HWDavid	0.44	0.46	0.45
Wolf	0.30	0.44	0.35
Q. Zhu	0.33	0.40	0.33

Same experimental process is performed on the ICDAR 2011 robust reading dataset to evaluate our framework with DCA and ICA respectively. The results are obtained by using the same evaluation measures, as presented in Table II. Some detected text regions are presented in Fig. 20 with cyan boxes.



Fig. 20. Some example results of text localization in the ICDAR 2011 Robust Reading Dataset, where the text regions are marked by cyan boxes.

Furthermore, we evaluate the performance of boundary clustering (BC) and string fragment classification (SFC) respectively on this dataset. First, adjacent character grouping (ACG) [30] is evaluated independently on the edge images to localize aligned connected components or boundaries with similar sizes and generate string fragments by grouping. Then we apply boundary clustering and string fragment classification as pre-processing and post-processing of ACG respectively. Boundary clustering categorizes boundaries with similar color-pairs to filter out false combination of adjacent components in ACG, and string fragment classification filters out the false positive string fragments generated by ACG. The evaluation results are shown in Table II. Many background interferences are filtered out in boundary clustering and string fragment classification, so they improve the precision. However, some text characters with non-regular patterns or

incomplete boundaries might be also removed. Thus the recall has a little decline.

 TABLE II

 Results of text localization on the ICDAR 2011 Robust Reading Dataset of scene images.

	Precision	Recall	<i>f</i> -measure
Ours(DCA)	0.81	0.72	0.71
Ours(ICA)	0.67	0.80	0.68
ACG	0.63	0.79	0.64
BC	0.66	0.78	0.66
+ ACG			
ACG	0.72	0.77	0.69
+ SFC			

#### C. Evaluation on born-digital and broadcast video images

We further evaluate our framework to extract text information from born-digital images and broadcast video images. Born-digital images are electrical documents with colorful captions and illustrations. Mostly they exist in web pages, book covers, and posters. In born-digital images, text characters and strings are more colorful, so the initial number of Gaussian mixtures in boundary clustering is set as K = 7. Besides, born-digital image has higher frequency of occurrences of text and smaller character sizes than scene image. Thus in layout analysis we consider some connected components directly as string fragments and slice the corresponding image patches vertically to overlapped partitions with width-to-height ratio 2:1.

A dataset of born-digital images is released for ICDAR 2011 robust reading competition [34]. It contains 420 born-digital images with ground truth text regions. The average image size is about  $352\times200$ . We evaluate our framework by using the same measures on this dataset. The framework with DCA generates precision 0.64, recall 0.67 and *f*-measure 0.61, and the framework with ICA generates precision 0.55, recall 0.70 and f-measure 0.56. Fig. 21 presents some examples of localized text regions in born-digital images.



Fig. 21. Example results of text localization in the born-digital images.

Moreover, our framework is evaluated on broadcast video images. In most video images, text serves as titles and captions to introduce the content of television program. It is distributed on the top or bottom of the screen. The characters and strings also have the features of bigram color uniformity, stroke width consistency, and character alignment. Different from scene images, most text information in broadcast video image is subsequently added for audience reading, so they generally encounter fewer background interferences and pattern variations. Fig. 22 depicts some results of localization in broadcast video images.



Fig. 22. Example results of text localization in broadcast video images.

# D. Evaluation on scene images of blind-captured objects

The proposed framework can be applied to produce reading-assistant devices to help blind people to distinguish objects in their hands. Most reading-assistant devices, *e.g.* voice reading pen, require manual localization of text regions in documents or books because the integrated OCR cannot find out text regions without background outliers automatically. Thus our framework can be employed to carry out the challenging task.

To evaluate the performance on blind assistance, we collected a dataset of text captions on objects in hands. 10 blind persons are recruited to test 13 objects (grocery boxes, medical bottles, book covers, *etc.*) by wearing a camera attached in a pair of sunglasses to capture the hand-held objects. We total collected 112 blind-captured images with 324 ground truth text regions. These labeled text regions only cover the headings or sub-headings in the objects since they provide sufficient information to blind people what the objects in their hands are. Some examples of localized text regions by the proposed framework are shown in Fig. 23.



Fig. 23. Example results of localized text regions in cyan from the blind-captured images of text captions.

By using the same evaluation measures as above experiments, we obtain precision 0.52, recall 0.62, and *f*-measure 0.52 on this dataset. Furthermore, we define that a ground truth text region is hit if three-quarter of its area is localized and a localized text region is hit if half of its area is within the ground truth. In this experiment, our framework hits 164 of the 311 localized regions and 195 of the 324 ground truth regions. The text regions are then input into an OCR system for recognition. The recognized text codes are displayed to blind users as audio outputs. From the above experimental results, we find that the precisions of text localization in born-digital images and blind-captured object images are lower than that in scene images. A reason is that the two types of images usually have lower image resolutions and more compact distributions of text and background objects.

#### E. Some Limitations of the Proposed Framework

The proposed framework is based on color uniformity and horizontal alignment of text strings with more than 2 characters, so it cannot handle a text string with non-uniform colors, single character, or text string whose angle with the horizontal is larger than 20 degrees. In addition, the framework requires enough resolution of the text to be localized. The characters and strings cannot be too small or too blurred. Fig. 24 depicts some challenging scene images in which the framework fails to localize text regions accurately.



Fig. 24. Scene images where our framework fails to localize text regions. (a) Multi-colored character and non-horizontal string. (b) Text string composed of single character. (c) Low resolution. (d) Non-horizontal string.

In our proposed framework, the involved constraints, assumptions and parameters are all designed for general text appearance and structure in most natural scenes. We would make the framework be more adaptive to those specific and challenging situations.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have designed a novel framework to localize text regions under complex background and multiple text patterns. To eliminate background outliers and model text structure, three steps are involved in the localization process, which are boundary clustering, stroke segmentation, and string fragment classification. Text in scene images is modeled by pixel-level bigram color uniformity, character-level stroke structure, and string-level text layout. In each step, we present novel algorithms to extend and integrate the common features related to outer appearance and inner structure of text. Traditional color based pixel clustering is transformed into color-pair based boundary clustering and group the character boundaries of text string in similar color into identical boundary layers. Two algorithms are designed for structural analysis of stroke component to extract character candidates in each boundary layer. Then we perform layout analysis on the candidate characters to calculate the fragments of text strings. A SVM-based classifier of string fragments is learned from Gabor-based features to filter out the false positive string fragments obtained from layout analysis. The experiments show that the proposed framework is able to localize text regions among various background outliers and text patterns, including scene images, born-digital image, broadcast video images and blind-captured object images. In performance

evaluation on benchmark dataset, the framework outperforms state-of-the-art localization algorithms in precision and recall.

In the future work, we will design more sophisticated algorithm to model the structure of text characters and strings. We will also extend the framework to localize non-horizontal text strings in deformed surfaces, and design word recognition algorithm to read text information from text regions.

#### REFERENCES

- H. Anoual, S. Elfkihi, A. Jilbab, "Features extraction for text detection and localization," 5<sup>th</sup> International symposium on I/V communications and mobile network (ISVC), pp. 1-4, 2010.
- [2] T. M. Breuel, "The OCRopus open source OCR system," Proceedings IS&T/SPIE 20th Annual Symposium, pp. 0F1–0F15, 2008.
- [3] J. Canny, "A Computational Approach To Edge Detection," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 8(6):679–698, 1986.
- [4] X. Chen and A. Yuille, "Detecting and Reading Text in Natural Scenes," Proceedings of IEEE conferences on computer vision and pattern recognition, Vol.2, pp. 366-373, 2004.
- [5] X. Chen, J. Yang, J. Zhang, and A. Waibel, "Automatic Detection and Recognition of Signs From Natural Scenes," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 87-99, 2004.
- [6] V. Dinh, S. Chun, S. Cha, H. Ryu, S. Sull "An Efficient Method for Text Detection in Video Based on Stroke Width Similarity", *Proceedings of Asian conference on computer vision*, pp. 200-209, 2007.
- [7] B. Epshtein, E. Ofek, and Y. Wexler, "Detecting Text in Nature Scenes with Stroke Width Transform," *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition, pp. 2963-2970, 2010.
- [8] S. M. Hanif, L. Prevost, "Text detection and localization in complex scene images using constrained AdaBoost algorithm," *Proceedings of* 10th international conference on document analysis and recognition, ISSN: 1520-5363, pp. 1-5, 2009.
- [9] A. K. Jain and S. Bhattacharjee, "Text segmentation using Gabor filters for automatic document processing," *Machine Vision and Applications*, Vol. 5, Issue 3, pp. 169-184, 1992.
- [10] C. Jung, Q. Liu, and J. Kim, "Accurate text localization in images based on SVM output scores," *Image and vision computing*, Vol.27, Issue 9, pp. 1295-1301, 2008.
- [11] T. Kasar, J. Kumar and A. Ramakrishnan, "Font and Background Color Independent Text Binarization," Second International Workshop on Camera-Based Document Analysis and Recognition, pp.3-9, 2007.
- [12] W. Kim, and C. Kim, "A new approach for overlay text detection and extraction from complex video scene," *IEEE transactions on image* processing, Vol. 18, Issue 2, pp. 401-411, 2009.
- [13] S. Kumar, R. Gupta, N. Khanna, S. Chaudhury, and S. D. Joshi, "Text Extraction and Document Image Segmentation Using Matched Wavelets and MRF Model," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 16, No. 8, pp. 2117-2128, 2007.
- [14] L. Li and M.K.H. Leung, "Integrating Intensity and Texture Differences for Robust Change Detection", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 105-112, 2002.
- [15] C. Liu, C. Wang, R. Dai, "Text detection in images based on unsupervised classification of edge-based features," *Proc. of International conference on document analysis and recognition*, Vol. 2, pp. 610-614, 2005.
- [16] S. M. Lucas, A. Panaretos, L. Sosa, A. Tang, S.Wong and R. Young, "ICDAR 2003 Robust Reading Competitions," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pp. 682-687, 2003.
- [17] S. M. Lucas, "ICDAR 2005 text locating competition results," In Proceedings of the International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, Vol. 1, pp 80–84, 2005.
- [18] M. Lyu, J. Song, and M. Cai, "A Comprehensive Method for Multilingual Video Text Detection, Localization, and Extraction," *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, Vol.15, No. 2, pp. 243-255, 2005.
- [19] C. Mancas-Thillou, and B. Gosselin, "Spatial and Color Spaces Combination for Natural Scene Text Extraction," *IEEE Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pp. 985-988, 2006.
- [20] N. Nikolaou and N. Papamarkos, "Color Reduction for Complex Document Images," *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, Vol.19, pp.14-26, 2009.

- [21] N. Otsu. "A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man., Cybernetics*, pp. 62–66. DOI:10.1109/TSMC, 1979.
- [22] W. Pan, C. Suen and T. Bui, "Script Identification Using Steerable Gabor Filters," Proc. of International Conferences on document analysis and recognition, Vol. 2, pp. 883-887, 2005.
- [23] T. Phan, P. Shivakumara and C. L. Tan, "A Laplacian Method for Video Text Detection," *10th International Conference on Document Analysis* and Recognition, pp.66-70, 2009.
- [24] M. Shi, Y. Fujisawab, T. Wakabayashia and F. Kimura, "Handwritten numeral recognition using gradient and curvature of gray scale image," *Pattern Recognition*, Vol. 35, No. 10, pp. 2051-2059, 2002.
- [25] R. Smith, "An Overview of the Tesseract OCR Engine," Proceedings of International conference on document analysis and recognition, ISSN: 1520-5363, pp. 629-633, 2007.
- [26] Y. Song, A. Liu, L. Pang, S. Lin, Y. Zhang, and S. Tang, "A Novel Image Text Extraction Method Based on K-means Clustering," *Seventh International Conference on Computer and Information Science*, pp. 185-190, 2008.
- [27] K. Subramanian, P. Natarajan, M. Decerbo, D. Castanon, "Character-Stroke Detection for Text-Localization and Extraction", *Proceedings of International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR)*, pp. 33-37, 2005.
- [28] M. Wan, F. Zhang, H. Cheng, and Q. Liu, "Text localization in spam image using edge features," *International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems (ICCCAS)*, DOI: 10.1109/ICCCAS.2008.4657900, pp. 838-842, 2008.
- [29] J. Weinman, E. Leanred-Miller, A. Hanson, "Scene text recognition using similarity and a lexicon with sparse belief propagation," *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, Vol. 31, No. 10, pp. 1733-1746, 2009.
- [30] C. Yi, and Y. Tian, "Text string detection from natural scenes by structure-based partition and grouping," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 20, No. 9, 2011.
- [31] Y. Zheng, H. Li, and D. Doermann, "A parallel-line detection algorithm based on HMM decoding," *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, Vol. 27, No. 5, pp. 777-792, 2005.
- [32] http://algoval.essex.ac.uk/icdar/Datasets.html.
- [33] http://robustreading.opendfki.de/wiki/SceneText.
- [34] http://www.cvc.uab.es/icdar2011competition/.



**Chucai Yi** (S'12) received his B.S. and the M.S. degrees in Department of Electronic and Information Engineering from Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2007 and 2009, respectively. From 2009 he is a Ph.D. graduate student in Computer Science at the Graduate Center, the City University of New York, New York, NY, USA.

His research focuses on text detection and recognition in natural scene images. His research interests include object recognition, image

processing, and machine learning.



**YingLi Tian** (M'99–SM'01) received her BS and MS from TianJin University, China in 1987 and 1990 and her PhD from the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, in 1996. After holding a faculty position at National Laboratory of Pattern Recognition, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, she joined Carnegie Mellon University in 1998, where she was a postdoctoral fellow of the Robotics Institute. Then she worked as a research staff member in IBM T. J. Watson Research Center from 2001 to 2008. She is currently an

associate professor in Department of Electrical Engineering at the City College of New York and Department of Computer Science at the Graduate Center, the City University of New York. Her current research focuses on a wide range of computer vision problems from motion detection and analysis, assistive technology, to human identification, facial expression analysis, and video surveillance. She is a senior member of IEEE.